
Air Education and Training Command

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT AApproved for Public Release
Distribution Unlimited



Flight Engineer, Helicopter Occupational Survey **AFSC 1A1X1B** Report

20031126 074

Dr. Burke Burright 23 August 2002



Air Force Occupational Measurement SQ



AFOMS/0A

1550 Fifth Street East Randolph AFB, TX 78150 DSN 487 – 6811 https://www-r.omsq.af.mil/OMY/indexomy.htm



Overview



Survey background

Survey results

Implications and way ahead





Work Performed



- Operate and monitor engine and helicopter systems, controls, and indicators
- Compute and apply helicopter weight, balance, and performance data
- Perform inspections and non-scheduled maintenance
- activities, such as logs, reports, and records Plan, organize, and direct flight engineer



Survey Background



- Survey initiated to obtain data to:
- Evaluate current classification and training documents
- Support promotion test development
- Last Occupational Survey Report (OSR) - May 1999
- November 2001 to March 2002 Current survey data collected-
- Components Surveyed:
- Active Duty: 3-, 5-, and 7-Skill Levels
- Guard: 5- and 7-Skill Levels
- Reserve: 5- and 7-Skill Levels I

Occupational Measurement Squadron Occupational Analysis Products Mir Force



Analysis Extracts, and OSR Briefing. Survey Report, Training and

(Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited) FLIGHT ENGINEER, NOVEMBER 2002 HELICOPTER 1A1X1B

9



Current Training Program



- Enlisted Air Undergraduate Course (EAUC) Helicopter Flight Engineer Helper (J3AQR1A111B 001)
- Lackland AFB, TX
- 14 academic days
- CCAF credits: 5 hours
- Safety and survival training
- Basic Helicopter Flight Engineer
- Kirtland AFB, NM
- 25 academic days
- CCAF credits: 8 hours
- AFSC awarding
- Flying Qualification Courses



(Continued)



Flying Qualification Courses

- Three tracks
- UH-1N Initial Qualification
- MH-53J Flight Engineer Mission Qualification
- HH-60G Flight Engineer Mission Qualification
- 58th TRS, Kirtland AFB, NM
- AETC Functional Manager requested that OMS focus its training analysis on flying qualification courses



(Continued)





UH-1N Initial Qualification Course (UH1NMQ)

Qualifies flight engineers to be mission crewmembers in

UH-1N helicopter

80 academic days

CCAF credits: 10 hours

Programmed Flying Training (PFT)

FY02: 14 seats

FY03: 23 seats

Elimination Rate: 25% in FY02



(Continued)





MH-53J Flight Engineer Mission Qualification (MH53JFEMQ)

Trains helicopter flight engineers to perform duties in the MH-53J helicopter

160 academic days

CCAF credits: 35 hours

Programmed Flying Training (PFT)

FY02: 24 seats

FY03: 24 seats

Elimination Rate: 60% in FY02



(Continued)





HH-60G Flight Engineer Mission Qualification (HH60FEMQ)

 Qualifies flight engineers to perform duties on the HH-60G helicopter

88 academic days

CCAF credits: 23 hours

Programmed Flying Training (PFT)

FY02: 30 seats

FY03: 31 seats

Elimination Rate: 17% in FY02



Survey Sample Characteristics



Assigned*	<u>AD</u>	AFRC 37	ANG 21	Total
Mailed Out	238	33	- 8	289
Sample	06	+	-	102
Usable Returns	38%	33%	%9	35%

Average time in career field for AD: 7 yrs 3 months

Average TAFMS for AD: 13 yrs 10 months

Percent of AD in first enlistment: 0%

* Assigned as of November 01





Skill-Level Distribution

	Assigned*	Sample
3-Level -	2%	3%
5-Level -	48%	%09
7-Level -	20%	47%

Paygrade Distribution

%0	3%	49%	30%	18%	%0
*	2%	43%	31%	20%	*
- ဗု	ı	1	ı	i	•
E-1 - E	E-4	E-5	B-6	E-7	Ш -8
	**	- E-3 -	- E-3 - ** - 5% - 43%	- E-3 	- E-3 - ** - 5% - 43% - 31% - 20%

*Assigned as of Nov 01
** Less than 1 percent

12

Command Representation**























	Sales I
1	\$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \

1	524	
	of 1830	DANE
		NI SERIO
		IR POR
		\$

$\langle \cdot \rangle$	3	
		THE SHIP OF
	(g)	MILE

	(E. MIL
ge general	19-1
Samuel Service	SHOWING !
	E

<i></i>	O ann	
	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	3,
		′
37.	(E) William	

-		PORCEST	<u>}</u>
- C. J.	 ٥ _\		

%	
Sample	

Assigned %*

Command

AFSOC



25	27	12







AETC ACC AMC AFSPC PACAF





AFMC AFRC









5
Jarch
of Jo
S
ssigned
ASS.
۷


^{**} Might not add to 100% due to rounding

Job Structure



Sample size:102







7



FLIGHT ENGINEER JOB (N=97)



Review AFTO Forms 781-series


Perform aircrew scanning duties

Determine engine power requirements using pertormance data

Participate in pre-mission briefing


Secure equipment for flight

Perform operational checks of aircraft systems or equipment



Sample by Aircraft








UH-1N Flight Engineers (N=28)





Average number of tasks performed: 165

Task performed primarily by UH-1N flight engineers: Operate or monitor MEDEVAC equipment






MH-53J/M Flight Engineers

(N = 37)





- Average number of tasks performed: 285
- Task performed primarily by MH-53J/M flight engineers:
- Operate hover coupler system
- Adjust engine controls during flight
- Operate or monitor fuel jettison systems
- Monitor landing gear (LDG) position indicators
- Operate automatic flight control systems
- Operate radar systems
- Monitor IDAS/MATT
- Operate FLIR systems





HH-60G Flight Engineers







Average number of tasks performed: 265

Tasks performed primarily by HH-60G flight engineers:


Operate or monitor blade deice systems

Annotate engine conditioning monitoring logs

Perform static-line or high-altitude low-opening (HALO) paradrop procedures

Monitor aircraft weapon system operations

Deploy pyrotechnics





Career Ladder Progression



- change little between 3-, 5-, & 7-skill levels The distribution of time among duty areas
- Only a slight increase in percentage of time devoted to management, supervision, and training activities
- 7-levels devote only 6% of their time to management and supervision
- 7-levels devote only 5% of their time to training

Percent Time Spent on Duties Career Ladder Progression



Performing General Aircrew Activities
Performing General Maintenance Activities
Performing Mission Planning or Performance Data
Computation Activities
Performing Auxiliary System Activities
Performing Communication & Navigation System Activities
Performing Electrical System Activities
Performing Flight Control System Activities
Performing Fuel System Activities
Performing Landing Gear (LDG) & Brake System Activities
Performing Pneudraulic & Hydraulic System Activities
Performing Engine System Activities
Performing Rotor, Transmission & Drive System Activities
Performing Special Mission Activities
Performing Emergency Procedure Activities
Performing General Administrative & TO System Activities
Performing General Supply and Equipment Activities
Performing Training Activities
Performing Management, Supervisory, or Evaluation Activities

DAFSC*	1A171B	(N = 48)	17	3	ည	9	80	0	က	ß	Ø	N	4	9	15	9	4	1	5	9
DAFSC*	1A151B	(N = 51)	18	3	9	9	9	Ø	က	2	_	7	5	7	15	7	4	-	5	4
DAFSC*	1A131B	(N = 3)	18	က	4	7	9	0	က	7	Ø	7	4	ω	18	8	ო	ဇ	7	*

* Columns might add to 100% due to rounding

ŝ

**Less than 1 percent

QUALIFICATION TRAINING UH-1N MISSION



- UH-1N flight engineers perform a common set of core tasks
- maneuver items matched to tasks were well All of the SOI's learning objectives and supported
- A number of unmatched tasks deserve consideration for inclusion in the SOI



Representative Tasks Performed by **UH-1N Flight Engineers**



Percent Members Performing (N=28)

Tasks	(N=28)
Review AFTO Form 781-series	93
Load or offload personnel	93
Brief aircraft commanders on aircraft weight and balance status	93
Perform fireguard duties	66
Perform aircraft scanning duties	88
Compute aircraft weight and balance data using charts,	88
calculators, or computers	
Determine engine power requirements using performance data	88
Secure equipment for flight	88
Compute takeoff and landing data (TOLD)	88
Participate in pre-mission briefings	88
Monitor transmission system operations	88
Open or close crew entrance doors	80
Prepare or review passenger manifests	89

23



Tasks not Referenced to UH-1N SOI



Examples

Percent

	~	Members	Tng	Tsk	
Tasks	<u>a</u>	Performing	Emp		ATI
A0032	A0032 Perform functional check flight (FCF) duties	68	5.00	6.82	8
A0035	A0035 Perform operational check of aircraft systems or equipment	85	6.62	6.20	8
A0040	A0040 Prepare for aircrew testing or evaluation	75	4.25	5.61	8
K0164	K0164 Operate or monitor fuel flow system	61	6.83	4.84	18
M0233	M0233 Configure or reconfigure aircraft for special missions	75	5.38	5.45	8
N0284	Direct crewmembers or passengers during emergency procedures	75	6.21	5.51	18

Mean TE Rating is 4.00, Standard Deviation is 2.01 (HIGH TE= 6.01) Mean TD Rating is 5.00, Standard Deviation is 1.00 (HIGH TD= 6.00)

QUALIFICATION TRAINING MH-53J/M MISSION



- MH-53J/M flight engineers perform a common set of core tasks
- maneuver items matched to tasks were well All of the SOI's learning objectives and supported
- A number of unmatched tasks deserve consideration for inclusion in the SOI



Representative Tasks Performed by MH-53J/M Flight Engineers





Performing Members Percent

=37)	100
$\frac{\parallel}{2}$	1(

Tasks
Monitor or report in-flight trend analyses, such as ground speed,
altitude,or drop rate
Review AFTO Form 781-series
Perform operational checks of aircraft systems or equipment
Perform aircrew preflight inspections of aircraft weapon systems
Perform aircrew scanning duties
Secure equipment for flight
Operate or monitor fuel jettison system
Fire weapons for qualification, such as 9mm or M-16s

001 001 001 001 001 001 001 001

installation after flight	Perform desert landing or limited visibility brown-out operations	Compute takeoff and landing data (TOLD)	Perform engine starts, run-ups, or shutdowns	Update navigation systems
inst	Perfor	Comp	Perfo	Updai

1A1X1B

Verify safety pins and streamers removal prior to flight or

97 97 97 97



Tasks not Referenced to MH-53J/M SOI



Examples

Percent

	Me	Members	Tng	Tsk	
Tasks	Perf	Performing	Emp		ATI
A0028	A0028 Perform aircrew preflight inspections 100	00	7.04	5.78	17
A0032	A0032 Perform functional check flight (FCF) duties	95	5.00	6.82	18
B0047	B0047 Apply external alternating current (AC) 92 or direct current (DC) power to aircraft	92	9.00	3.75	18
L0212	L0212 Operate or monitor chip detection system malfunctions	95	6.58	5.22	18
M0257	M0257 Perform defensive suppressive fire	84	2.00	9/.9	17

Mean TE Rating is 4.00, Standard Deviation is 2.01 (HIGH TE= 6.01) Mean TD Rating is 5.00, Standard Deviation is 1.00 (HIGH TD= 6.00)

27

6.77

5.62

97

M0258 Perform desert land or limited

(DSF) operations

visibility brownout operations

QUALIFICATION TRAINING HH- 60G MISSION





- HH-60G flight engineers perform a common set of core tasks
- maneuver items matched to tasks were well All of the SOI's learning objectives and supported
- A number of unmatched tasks deserve consideration for inclusion in the SOI



Representative Tasks Performed by MH-60G Flight Engineers



Performing Members Percent

Tasks	(N=36)
Perform aircrew scanning duties	100
Perform aircrew preflight inspection of aircraft systems or	100
equipment, other than weapon systems	
Compute climb, cruise, descent, range,or maximum	100
endurance data	
Compute take off and landing data (TOLD)	100
Operate aircraft weapon systems	100
Operate night vision goggles	100
Perform remote site landings or takeoffs	100
Operate or monitor rescue hoist systems	100
Perform, practice, or simulate engine malfunction emergency	100
procedures	
Perform, practice, or simulate flight control system emergency	100
procedures	

29



Tasks not Referenced to IOS 509-HH



Examples

Percent

		Members	Tng	Tsk	
Tasks		Performing	Emp	Dif	ATI
A0040	A0040 Operate detachable emergency equipment	83	4.79	2.61	17
A0043	Prepare for aircrew testing or evaluation	94	4.25	5.61	8
A0045	Secure equipment for flight	100	6.33	3.71	48
D0102	D0102 Operate airframe installed cargo handling equipment	64	4.45	4.68	17
D0105	D0105 Operate or monitor cargo door or ramp systems	72	5.62	4.28	17
D0106	D0106 Operate or monitor cargo sling system 64	n 64	5.38 4.92	4.92	17



Job Satisfaction Indicators (AD) (Current vs. Previous Study)





	1-48	1-48 Months	49-96	49-96 Months	97+ Months	onths	
	2002 (N=35)	1999 (N=32)	2002 (N=23)	1999 (N=30)	2002 (N=32)	1999 (N=59)	
Job interesting	88	82	100	84	97	88	
Talents well utilized	94	87	100	83	94	88	
Training well utilized	97	26	100	87	94	92	
Sense of accomplishment	68	06	91	99	94	8	
Plan to reenlist	74	82	78	09	99	20	
							_

31



First-Assignment Airmen (N=35) Retention Dimensions



rcent	
Per	

Planning to Reenlist (N=26)	Responding	Average
Pay and allowances	62	2.25
Bonus or special pay	62	2.25
Retirement benefits	58	2.40
Military-related education & training opportunities	46	1.83
Job security	42	2.55

Planning to Separate (N=5)

Pay and allowances	100	2.60
Civilian job opportunities	09	3.00
Base housing	40	3.00
Civilian job opportunities	40	3.00
Enlisted evaluation system	40	3.00

Scale: 1 = slight influence, 2 = moderate influence, 3 = strong influence

Second-Assignment Airmen (N=23) Retention Dimensions



	Percent	
Planning to Reenlist (N=18)	Responding	Average
Retirement benefits	56	2.70
Job security	44	2.62
Medical/dental care for AD member	39	2.00
Esprit de corps/morale	39	2.62
Off-duty educational or training opportunities	32	2.60

Planning to Separate (N=3)

Pay and allowances	33	3.00
Bonus or special pay	33	3.00
Civilian job opportunity	33	3.00
Promotion opportunities	33	3.00
Recognition of effort	33	3.00

Scale: 1 = slight influence, 2 = moderate influence, 3 = strong influence

33



Retention Dimensions Career Airmen (N=32)



	Percent		
Planning to Reenlist (N=21)	Responding	Average	
Job security	29	2.64	
Military lifestyle	62	2.46	
Pay and allowances	22	2.26	
Off-duty education/training opportunities	22	2.50	
Retirement benefits	52	2.77	
Planning to Separate (N=1)			
Job security	100	2.00	

Scale: 1 = slight influence, 2 = moderate influence, 3 = strong influence

34



Summary of Results



- Aircraft types impact tasks performed
- Career ladder progression typical of aircrew **AFSCs**
- Heavy focus on flying tasks through 7-level
- Minimal involvement in management & training activities
- Flying training courses well supported
- All matched learning objectives and maneuver items warrant inclusion in courses
- Numerous tasks should be considered for inclusion
- Intrinsic job satisfaction and reenlistment rates high

Way Ahead






- OSR delivery trip planned for October
- Utilization and Training Workshop (U&TW)
- ✓ Held March 2002
- ✓ Next U&TW not yet scheduled
- Next SKT rewrite (major) is scheduled for Nov 02

37

Questions?





Visit our web site at:

https://www-r.omsq.af.mil/OMY/indexomy.htm

E-Mail: Burke.Burright@randolph.af.mil





Excellence Integrity - Service -